

Petty grievances (Too much litigation)

Introduction : Petty cases increased dramatically in the modern world.

Body 1 : Those who are for :

• working courts	• organizing society
• protecting rights	• living in peace

Body 2 : Those who are against:

• clogging up courts	• increasing the culture of blame
• preventing judges caring for important cases	• prevent officers look for real criminal

Conclusion: I am against petty cases.



have their own reasons.

Petty cases increased dramatically in the modern world. Many people are for having too much litigation in our courts. Many others are against petty cases and they

Those who are for state that there are courts in the society .These courts are working. They add that much litigation shows that we are living in an organized society. **In addition**, having laws protect us from evil and let us live in peace.

On the other hand, those who are against state that petty cases clog up courts. **Furthermore**, they prevent judges caring for important cases. They also prevent officers look for real criminal. **Likewise**, too many cases increase the culture of blame in our society.

Overall, it is now clear that we should get rid of these petty cases. **As for me**, I am against them. We need to solve our problems cordially amongst us. It is much better to seek the elders' advice if we have minor problems.

Dangerous Driving (Banning speedy drivers)

Introduction: Fast and careless driving has become a big problem nowadays.

Body 1 : Those who are for:

• causing serious accidents	• killing innocents
• causing noise pollution	• hurting themselves

Body 2 : Those who are against:

• having emergency cases	• being late for work
• having mechanical problems	

Conclusion: I am for banning them if they repeat their actions.



Fast and careless driving has become a big problem nowadays. Some people are for banning speedy drivers from driving while some others are against banning them and they have their own reasons. Let's discuss their arguments.

Those who are for banning them from driving state that they cause serious accidents. **In addition**, they might kill innocents. They add that they cause noise pollution. **Furthermore**, they might hurt themselves by dangerous driving.

On the other hand, those who are against state that some of them have their reasons to drive fast. Some of them are obliged to drive fast in residential areas because of emergency cases. **Moreover**, they might be late for work. Also, some of them may have mechanical problems or are in a hurry.

In conclusion, it is now clear that we should advise fast drivers to drive carefully to save themselves and to save others. We must raise their awareness to drive safely. They should be given a chance to drive safely. I am for banning them if they repeat their actions.

Using Work Computers

Introduction: Should companies allow their employees to use work computers for their own purposes?

Body 1 : Those who are for :

• checking their important e-mails	• during break times
• not having computers at home	

Body 2 : Those who are against

• wasting the working time.	• decreasing the company's production
• being attacked by hackers	• stealing passwords and identities

Conclusion: I am against using work computers for own purposes.



Should companies allow their employees to use work computers for their own purposes? The answer to this question varies as some people are for while many others are against. Let us see their arguments.

Those who are for state that they check their important e-mails. **They add** that they use computer work during break times only. **Moreover**, some of them do not have computers at home.

On the other hand, those who are against state that they waste the working time. **In addition**, they decrease the company's production. **Furthermore**, hackers might attack them and steal important information. Techno criminals might also steal their passwords and identities.

Finally, it is now clear that we should not use our work computers for our own purposes. I am totally against that. Using them might cause many problems.

Migration

Introduction : Migration is the movement by people from one place to another.

Body 1 : The Advantages of Migration:

• finding better life conditions	• getting well- paid jobs
• learning new cultures	• making new friends.



Body 2 : The disadvantages of Migration:

• not coping with new cultures	• losing identity
• facing difficulty learning the new language	• feeling homesick and lonely

Conclusion: I prefer to stay at home.



Migration is the movement by people from one place to another. People migrate for different reasons. Some people support migration while others are against.

For its bright side, people who migrate enjoy better life conditions. They can get well- paid jobs as well. **In addition,** migrating people learn new cultures and new languages. **Furthermore,** they make new friends.

On the other hand, some people might find difficulties coping with new cultures. They might find difficulty learning the new language. Others might lose their identity, feeling homesick and lonely.

To sum up, migration as well as many other aspects of life has two faces, one good and one bad. We need to learn both faces so as to make the right decision whether to migrate or not. **As for me,** I prefer to stay at home. It is said, “**East or West Home is Best**”.

Studying abroad

Introduction : Studying abroad is a dream for most students.

Body 1 : Those who prefer to study abroad:

• learning many things	• learning how to be self-dependent
• gaining experience	• learning new languages, new cultures

Body 2 : Those who prefer to study at home:

• feeling homesick and lonely	• not coping with the new culture (culture shock)
• Studying abroad is too expensive	

Conclusion: I am for studying abroad to learn new skills and gain more experience.



Studying abroad is a dream for most students. Actually, it has two faces one good and one bad. Many students prefer to study abroad. Many others prefer to study at home. Both of them have their own reasons. Let us see their arguments.

Those who prefer to study abroad state that they learn many other things beside education. Simple tasks become experiences when you are away from home. Students learn how to be self-dependent. **In addition**, they learn new languages, new cultures and make new friends. **The main advantage of** studying abroad is to have the opportunity to see the world. By studying abroad, you will experience a brand-new country with incredible new outlooks, customs and activities.

On the other hand, studying abroad has a **darker side**. Students might feel homesick and lonely. **Furthermore**, they might find difficulty coping with the new culture or face what is called culture shock. Studying abroad is too expensive and students might find problems covering the fees.

In conclusion, I totally support studying abroad but we should think a thousand times before we decide to study abroad. **As for me**, I prefer to study abroad to learn new skills and gain more experience.

Voluntary Works For OR Against

Introduction : Voluntary work is working for free to help the needy and the orphans.

Body 1 : Those who are for :

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • helping people in need 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • offering food, money, clothes and medical care
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • helping people who may not be able to help themselves 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working for free

Body 2 : Those who are against :

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • not always helping people 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • exploiting the poor
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • not trusted members 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • spending money carelessly.

Conclusion: I am strongly for the voluntary work.



Voluntary work or volunteering is a charitable work and working for free to help the needy and the orphans out of love for Allah. **Therefore**, volunteer works can be defined as assisting the needy in a society. **Despite their great work** still some people against voluntary works.

Those who are for state that they help people in need. They offer them food, money, clothes and medical care. They also help people who may not be able to help themselves. Their real reward is to restore a smile to a child's face. The members of the charity organizations learn new skills. They add to their own CVs and gain experience.

On the other hand, charitable giving does not always help people. **In addition**, sometimes some people exploit the poor's sufferings for their own sakes. **Furthermore**, some members of charity organizations are not trusted. Some members spend the money being donated for the needy carelessly.

Overall, volunteering brings about happiness to the poor sufferers. **I am strongly for** the Voluntary work. Nothing is better than helping others in need.

Deforestation For OR Against

Introduction : Deforestation is the removal of trees and a result of human's bad activities.

Body 1 : Those who are against

• causing climate change	• causing health problems.
• Losing of animal and plants	• causing global warming

Body 2 : Those who are for

• cutting trees increases farmlands	• getting more farm lands
• increasing economy	• getting hard wood and looking for oil.

Conclusion: I am strongly against cutting down trees.



Deforestation is the removal of trees and a result of human's bad activities. Many people are against cutting down trees while many others are for and both have their own reasons. Let's see their arguments.

Those who are against state that the loss of trees can cause climate change and desertification. **Moreover**, cutting trees leads to lack of oxygen and health problems. Plants complete our life circle. **The main reason beyond** global warming is cutting down trees. **One of the most dangerous effects of** deforestation is the loss of animal and plant species due to their loss of habitat. **In addition to the** loss of habitat, the lack of trees also allows a greater amount of greenhouse gases to be released into the atmosphere.

On the other hand, those who are for state that cutting trees increases farmlands. Farmers are also benefiting from deforestation by getting more farm lands to grow many crops such as soya beans. **Furthermore**, the country's economy will increase. **Likewise**, they can get hard wood and look for oil. They add that cutting trees expands the country's land for construction purposes and for business.

All in all, it is now clear that cutting trees is very dangerous for both the environment and human's health as well. **I am strongly against** cutting down trees. Losing plants and trees means losing life itself.

Recycling For OR Against

Introduction : Does it make sense to recycle?

Body 1 : Those who are for:

• reducing pollution	• Saving the environment
• saving energy	• Saving money

Body 2 : Those who are against:

• hurting the environment if not planned	• not always of good quality
• leaving remains on the streets	• Some objects can't be recycled

Conclusion: I am strongly for recycling.



Does it make sense to recycle? Recycling is reprocessing things to be used again. Some people are for recycling objects while many others are against. They both have their reasons. Let's discuss their arguments.

Those who are for state that it is very important and useful to both the environment and us. Recycling is very important as waste has a big negative impact on the environment. Recycling helps to reduce the pollution caused by waste. Recycling requires much less energy and therefore helps to preserve natural resources. Many things can be easily recycled. We can recycle paper, rubbish, plastic, cloth, glass and metals. **Actually** recycling saves the earth.

On the other hand, those who are against state that recycling can hurt the environment further, if not planned well. Collecting garbage on a daily basis may leave remains on the streets. **Moreover**, recycled things are not always of good quality. Some objects can't be recycled at all because they cost more money.

Just like other things, there are those that agree with recycling and there are those who don't. The decision to recycle depends on your belief whether it is beneficial to the environment or not. **I am strongly for recycling. It is now clear that** it makes sense to reuse products, and to reduce energy altogether, as well as to save the environment.

For OR Against Using Water in modern industries

Introduction : Water is the backbone of people's, animals' and plants' life.

Body 1 : Those who are against:

• Wasting water to gain money	• No water for domestic use
• consuming a lot of water	

Body 2 : Those who are for :

• turning sea water into drinkable water	• modern technology has become a must
• Digging water wells	

Conclusion: I am against using too much water in modern industries.



Water is the backbone of people's, animals' and plants' life. All living things need water to live. Not only do we need it inside our bodies to stay alive, but there are so many animals that actually live in the water. Some people are for using water in modern technology industries. Others are against the idea of wasting water in such industries.

Those who are against state that most beings can go quite a while without food, but none can go more than 3 to 5 days without water. **Despite this**, still some people waste water in modern industries to gain money. They are afraid that one day there would be no water left for domestic use in the future. Modern technology industries consume a lot of water.

On the other hand, those who are for state that we can use water in modern technology industries without fears. They suggest turning sea water into drinkable water is the best solution to solve the problem of water shortage. They also suggest digging more wells. **Moreover**, they see that modern technology has become a must.

As I see, I support decreasing the use of water in modern industries. **In my own point of view**, we can live without modern technology, but we can never live without water. **It is said that** a drop of water saves a life.

For OR Against Land Reclamation

Introduction : Land reclamation can be a smart solution to city problems.

Body 1 : People who are for:

• to get jobs	• to solve the problem of limited land
• to expand the town	• examples of using undeveloped wetland

Body 2 : People who are against:

• losing their natural habitats	• increasing competition for local businesses
• overcrowding areas	

Conclusion: I am for land reclamations for constructing purposes.



Land reclamation can be a smart solution to problems. It means taking land from the sea and marshes for farming, housing and building modern buildings. Many people are for using wasteland for reclamations but an equal number of those people are against. Let's go to some of their arguments.

People who are for state that using undeveloped wetlands for construction purposes in the town will help a lot of people to get jobs. Many companies can take part in the construction purposes. **Furthermore**, it will help the town to expand and modernize. **Moreover**, it solves the problem of limited lands. Two good examples are The Palm Islands in the UAE and SouK Sharg in Kuwait.

On the other hand, people who are against, fear that rare types of birds will lose their natural habitat. **In addition**, it increases competition for local businesses. **Also**, a great number of people will move to live and to work in these reclaimed places, with the result that the place will be crowded.

As for me, I am for land reclamations for constructing purposes because they add to the beauty of towns. These new projects help to continue human development.

The extinction of animals

Introduction : Animals are very important to keep balance on earth.

Body 1 : Those who are against

• helping people is more important	• some animals don't benefit us
• Keeping animals is expensive	• many kids die because of starvation

Body 2 : Those who are for

• making balance on earth	• losing animals means losing life
• disappearing of human's lives	

Conclusion: I am for protecting rare animals.



Do you think that animals are important? Actually, they keep balance on earth. Unfortunately, since the beginning of the 21st century, many animals are exposed to extinction. Some people believe that more money should be spent to protect the endangered species from the extinction, while others argue that there are other issues more important than these animals.

Those who are against state that money should be spent on more important things such as helping people who are suffering from wars. **They add that** there are many poor children all over the world who die every day because of starvation and they deserve that money. **Moreover**, keeping some of these animals cost a fortune. **Furthermore**, some of these animals don't benefit humanity at all. Some people do not have anything to eat, and those people have the priority than the endangered species. **For example**, more than one million people die every year because of starvation.

On the other hand, those who are for state that money should be spent on the endangered species because these animals are very important to make balance on earth. **Likewise**, some studies have shown that killing the endangered species will cause the disappearing of human's lives in the near future. **Therefore**, they recommend to fund the projects which are responsible on protecting these species.

In conclusion, I support caring for rare animals. Money should be collected and paid to the save rare animals from extinction. These animals complete our life circle. **Thus**, losing these animals means losing life itself.

For OR Against Online shopping

Introduction : Online shopping has become preferable nowadays.

Body 1 : The advantages of online shopping:

• saving time	• getting lower prices
• getting full information about the product	• receiving high-quality product

Body 2 : The disadvantages of online shopping:

• facing fake sites	• showing unreal pictures for the items
• stealing passwords and accounts	• taking a long time to arrive

Conclusion: I am strongly against online shopping.



Nowadays, more and more people prefer buying something via the internet to shopping at traditional markets or supermarkets. There are thousands of sites that show their products online. Shopping online has both negative and positive aspects.

Some people support online shopping because buyers save more time than other ways of shopping. **For example**, to buy clothes or shoes, if you go to shops, you can spend all day on asking about prices and information of the product. **Meanwhile**, if you surf the internet, you can get full information about the product in several clicks; **especially**, it can be sent fully by the selling staff as soon as you visit their websites. **In addition**, the price of product bought online is usually lower than that of one bought in shops. **Indeed**, buying in the online shops has many benefits such as saving time, getting lower prices and sometimes receiving high-quality product.

Nevertheless, this buying style has its opposers. Some sites are fake. They steal people's money and passwords. **Especially**, buyers' private information such as ID numbers or bank accounts can be stolen when hackers attack websites. Some pictures of the products are so attractive, but actually, they don't deserve the money paid for them. Some items are sent but after a long time.

All in all, it is now clear that online shopping is a double- edged weapon. **I am strongly against** online shopping. I tried it once and got a negative experience so I stopped buying things online.